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Abstract

The main purpose of this study is to discover the most popular foods in Turkish cuisine by analysing user-generated content (UGC) and analysing Instagram posts to determine the most popular themes within a gastronomical context. Photographs, likes, and hashtags of 1167 posts shared with “#turkishfood” hashtag are analysed due to the representative power of this hashtag for the Turkish cuisine. Photography and text mining techniques are used under data mining. Findings for photographs and likes show that users have high and low perceived images for certain food categories. Hashtag findings support the user’s positive attitude towards Turkish cuisine. The study will help the destination develop future social media strategies by revealing the strengths and weaknesses of user-generated content (UGC) in the destination’s food image branding. This study offers theoretical and practical implications by showing existing and possible image elements for destination food branding with social media.

Keywords

Instagram
User-generated content (UGC)
Text mining
Photo mining
Food image branding

Article Type

Research Article

* Corresponding Author
E-mail: hakangorur@windowslive.com (H. Görür)

DOI: 10.21325/jotags.2021.775
INTRODUCTION

Food is regarded as an important element to make the travel experience unique or to increase general travel experience as an effective factor for tourism product generation and consumption (Björk & Kauppinen-Raisanen, 2016; Henderson, 2009). Destination marketing professionals regard food as an attractive factor to guide potential tourists within a constantly changing structure of the tourism industry (McKercher et al., 2008). Within this context, food can be seen as a strategic management tool that can form the basic image of a destination and contribute to the existing image (Cohen & Aviele, 2004; Kivela & Crotts, 2006). Positive food image is considered as a differentiation factor for the destinations. According to Rozin and Rozin (1981), factors such as local food, cooking techniques, and taste properties differentiate the cuisine of a destination from others. For example, the destination image of France is often linked with culture, fashion, romanticism, and primarily with gastronomy and wines (Frochot, 2003). As unique food and cuisine increase the destination image, they can turn this destination into an important attraction centre (Long, 2004). Over time, the positive food image of a destination contributes to destination marketing (Lai, et al., 2017; Lai et al., 2018).

Since tourism activities are experience-focused and show abstract properties, tourists tend to constantly search for information to decrease the predicted and perceived risks (Luo & Zhong, 2015). Tourists create a mental model (image) of the destination through the information they collect (Tapachai & Waryszak, 2000). Perceived image is regarded as more important by the tourists in the decision-making processes (Gallarza et al., 2002). Therefore, it can be seen that information sources are an important factor for creating an image (Baloglu, 1997; Baloglu, 2001; Baloglu & McCleary, 1999; Gartner, 1993; Govers et al., 2007; Ji & Wall, 2014; Rodriguez-Santoz et al., 2011; Stabler, 1993).

With the development of information and communication technologies (ICT), tourists consult user-generated content (UGC) on social media to plan their travels and make decisions (Fotis et al., 2012). UGC can be described as the content such as photographs, video, music, and blogs that are created and updated by online participants (Fatanti & Suyadnya, 2015). Destinations use UGC to interact with online users and reflect unique service and travel experiences (Narangajavana et al., 2017). In recent years, social media (Instagram, Facebook, Twitter, etc.) with user-generated dynamic information is more preferred than traditional information sources (television, radio, banners, etc.) (Varkaris & Neuhofer, 2017).

“One photo is worth one thousand words” (Hanan & Putit, 2014). Instagram (Zadeh & Sharda, 2014) as one of the new and popular UGC platforms in digital tourism highly impacts tourists’ decision-making processes (Varkaris & Neuhofer, 2017). Investigating UGC to effectively assess the interest and attention of the tourists on social media provides insights and information to destinations for their activities.

Destination marketing, branding, and e-tourism topics are widely discussed in the literature. The majority of the studies in the literature analyse UGC by using Facebook (Isacsson & Gretzel, 2011; Sabate et al., 2014), Twitter (Hay, 2010; Sotiriadis & Van Zyl, 2013), TripAdvisor (Amaral et al., 2014; Ayeh et al., 2013) and YouTube (Kim, 2012) platforms or compare different applications (Smith et al., 2012). Although Instagram is important as a marketing tool (Djafarova & Rushworth, 2017), there are only a few studies in terms of destination food marketing and branding (Ye et al., 2017; Yu & Sun, 2019; Wong et al., 2019). There are limited information and ambiguity about the effective role of social media to promote and market local cuisine. Therefore, the purpose of this study is
to analyse user-generated content (photographs, likes, and hashtags) on social media platform Instagram to discover the most popular foods in the Turkish cuisine and to determine the most popular themes in a gastronomic sense. Obtained findings can present important data to effectively position and reposition Turkey’s food image as an important tourism destination.

**Literature Review**

**Food Image and Branding**

Tourists might have positive, negative or neutral emotions towards a destination as their expected experience and image formed in their mind matches (Gartner, 1989) since human behaviours are based on subjective judgments (Tapachai & Waryszak, 2000) and perceptions rather than reality (Boulding, 1956). The image might influence the tourists at selection and purchasing stages as a guiding factor. In the touristic context, destinations can create a competitive advantage by reflecting high positive images (Pike & Ryan, 2004). Therefore, various destinations attempt for branding to create a reputation by using an image (Qu et al., 2011). It can be seen that branding is effective to develop a positive destination image for tourists (Blain et al., 2005).

Branding involves sketching the broad lines for a product and management process to develop a positive image to attract and maintain consumers (Low & Fullerton, 1994). Destination brands might contain elements such as symbols, logos, words, or differentiation graphics that define the destination (Blain et al., 2005). For example, Blinfelbt and Halkier (2013) found that Logstor, which is a small town in North Jutland, Denmark, symbolized brand image with “mussels”. Within this context, it is important for individuals, society, and local governments to carry out successful activities in the creation and protection of the destination brand (Chen, 2012).

Food is regarded as a cultural destination element among the components that form the destination image (Beerli & Martin, 2004; Echtner & Ritchie, 2003). Additionally, food plays an important role to shape the destination image (Lai et al., 2018). Although food is a physiological need (Frochot, 2003), it can be seen as an element that supports the travel experience or the main motivation of travel (Quan & Wang, 2004). Accordingly, various studies in the literature have confirmed the relationships between food image and tourists’ behavioural intention (visiting intention, re-visiting intention or intention to recommend to others) (Ab Karim et al., 2010; Leong et al., 2010) and the relationship between food image and tourist satisfaction (Ab Karim et al., 2010; Qing-Chi et al., 2013). Therefore, food image is effectively considered as a strategic management tool by the destinations with the importance of touristic travels.

In general, food image is investigated from the tourist perspective (demand) or destination perspective (supply) (Lai et al., 2018). As can be predicted, messages that represent the food image (encouraging new food ideas, strengthening some food consumption) can be intentionally reflected by the destination marketers via various information sources to attract potential tourists (Fisher et al., 2012). With the development of information technologies and more effective internet use by individuals for finding information, decreasing ambiguities and perceived risks (Gretzel & Yoo, 2008; Mackay & Vogt, 2012), social media use by destination is highly important to reflect the images online that might help destination branding. Social media is regarded as a transparent platform with more participants compared to traditional information sources (Ukpabi & Karjaluoto, 2018; Zhou & Wang, 2014). Social media has capabilities such as attracting individuals with content, attracting social interactions,
maintaining by communicating with other members, and managing mutual relationships (Wang & Fesenmaier, 2004). Therefore, local administrations and destination marketers should be encouraged to use social media platforms effectively to accurately reflect a positive food image for destination marketing.

Method

Turkey welcomes tourists all around the world with the various popular destinations that have a historical and natural attraction. Generally, it can be seen that the international tourist profile is mainly sea-sand-sun (Türkben et al., 2012). However, Turkey has an important place among world cuisines. It is emphasized that one of the main reasons of re-visit intentions and general satisfaction of international tourists is the Turkish cuisine (Rimmington & Yüksel, 1998). Gaziantep, Hatay, and Afyonkarahisar have been listed in “UNESCO Creative Gastronomy City” since 2015, 2017, and 2019 respectively (UNESCO, 2020). Therefore, Turkey as a tourism destination needs positioning and re-positioning works to be distinguished within the food branding context, which is an important cultural attraction element.

It is important to investigate how social media can be used effectively to promote the elements that contribute to the destination image. Therefore, the main purpose of this study is to discover the most popular foods in the Turkish cuisine by analysing UGC and analysing Instagram posts (photographs, likes, and hashtags) to determine the most popular themes within a gastronomical context. In other words, user-generated food posts, as well as likes and hashtags for these posts on Instagram, were analysed and the perceived food image of Turkey was evaluated from the user perspective.

In this study, “#turkishfood” hashtag was analysed since this hashtag had the highest number of posts and the power of presenting user perception towards Turkish cuisine was high. This study applied content analysis method among qualitative research methods, and answers for three main problems and sub-problems were investigated by using text mining and photo mining techniques under data mining.

1. What is the category frequency created by the photographs with “#turkishfood” hashtag posted by the users?

2. What is the like level in the “#turkishfood” hashtag post categories?

3. What is the other hashtags level in the “#turkishfood” hashtag post categories?

3a. What is the relationship level between “#turkishfood” hashtag and other hashtags in the post categories?

3b. What is the other hashtags usage frequency with the “#turkishfood” hashtag post categories?

3c. What are the themes created by the other hashtags in the “#turkishfood” hashtag post categories?

Content analysis is used for transforming data into systematic and clear information (Schreier, 2014). Content analysis can be used for processing non-text data such as photographs (Billore et al., 2013). Content analysis is important for research as this method is suitable for large samples, provides systematic information, and provides validation and repetition under similar conditions. To analyse the UGC, datamining methods are used to help content analysis from online platforms (social media, websites, etc.) (Al-Daihani and Abrahams, 2016). Using innovative data mining techniques in data collection and analysis processes decrease the time and errors compared to traditional methods.
**Model of Study**

In today’s world, it is necessary to obtain a high amount of information from the most resources and discover value-added information to make critical decisions (Aksu & Güzeller, 2019). Within this context, big data that represents a low cost, large volume, high-speed, and various information is important among data processing methods (Gandomi & Haider, 2015). While it is possible to obtain big data from various sources, social media data is highly important due to the unbiased representation of individual ideas, attitudes, and emotions. Therefore, to answer the problems and sub-problems expressed in the previous section, the social media platform Instagram was investigated, and the flowchart process is given in Fig. 1 by considering the social media analysis process (Fan & Gordon, 2014; Gandomi & Haider, 2015).

**Fig 1. Study Flowchart Process**

The study consists of four stages including data collection, data pre-processing, data analysis, and reporting (Fig. 1). The first two stages (data collection, data pre-processing) represent data methods including data obtaining, data storage, and preparing the data for analysis with supportive technologies. The last two stages (data analysis and reporting) represent techniques used in big data analysis and logical analysis representing the process for findings (Gandomi & Haider, 2015). These stages are explained in detail in the following sections.

**Population and Sample**

The study population is the user-generated contents on popular social media platform Instagram that represents Turkish cuisine. This study considered post content with frequently-used “#turkishfood” hashtag due to the
representative power of this hashtag of Turkish cuisine. This study used purposive sampling method among non-
random sampling method since content related to foods representing Turkish cuisine were included and promotion-
related (discount, draws, promotions, and ads) contents were excluded. Purposive sampling represents intention
selection that does not include the entire population for the research problem due to participant properties (Panuk,
2017). Within this context, 846051 posts created by users with the "#turkishfood" tag were reached on April 27,
2020. 1167 posts meeting the specified criteria were examined within the scope of the research. It was seen that 691
of these posts met all the criteria while 476 contained irrelevant photographs.

Data Collection Tool

Data collected from the social media platform Instagram was analysed by using the “Python” open-code program
“Selenium”, “BeautifulSoup”, and “Pandas” packages. “Selenium” is a package that enables operating desired
operations on internet browsers (Firefox, Google Chrome, etc.) to automatize these activities (Selenium, 2020).
“BeautifulSoup” helps to separate HTML and XML data and data extraction (Mitchell, 2018). “Pandas” is a Python
package for data processing, data extraction, and data storage (McKinney, 2012).

Data Collection Process

Instagram as a photograph and video-based social media application where images and comments can be shared
rapidly on the platform is regarded in digital tourism as a popular tool where everyone can be a tourism expert (Hanan
& Putit, 2014). Although Instagram was launched in 2010, it is one of the most popular social media platforms around
the world (Benedek, 2018). 2019 statistical data show that the platform has 1 billion monthly active users and 500
million daily active users (Clement, 2019). It can be seen that Instagram is adopted and effectively used by tourists
to share their travel experiences by others and destination marketers to promote the destination (Barbe et al., 2019).
Kufie and Kesa (2020) found that users most commonly use Instagram to share their food experiences. A study in
Portugal by Kuhzady and Ghasemi (2019) emphasized that food-beverages are among the most attractive image
elements among the users.

Instagram was selected as a reference since this social media platform has the highest number of users in the
digital world and it is popular among the young generation (Statista, 2020). To discover the UGC on Instagram,
popular hashtags (#turkishfood, #turkishfoodie, #turkishfoodlover, etc.) were investigated. Since there were
significant differences between the number of posts, user-generated content (photographs, likes, hashtags) under
“turkishfood” hashtag with the highest number of posts (846051 posts) was included in the study for reliable and
consistent data.

Data scraping on the web environment represents an automatic method to obtain a large amount of data from
websites and social media platforms. To extract data from Instagram, codes were written on “Selenium”,
“BeautifulSoup” and “Pandas” packages of open-source program Python. By using Selenium package codes,
automatic connections with designated Instagram accounts were obtained on the “Firefox” browser. Selenium Core
runs a JavaScript code on a host computer and controls the tested web application by using the browser capabilities
(Bruns et al., 2009). Data on social media platforms are referred to as unstructured data. “BeautifulSoup”, which is
a data scraping package, helps to collect the unstructured data from Instagram and to store it in a structured way. By
using the Instagram bot created with the Selenium package, the last 1167 posts with “turkishfood” hashtag and other
contents linked to these posts (photographs, likes, and hashtags) were obtained. The data set was created under three titles such as photographs with “turkishfood” hashtag, likes, and texts (hashtags) on 27 April 2020 by using Instagram. Lastly, data collected with the “Pandas” library were processed and prepared for analysis in “xlsx” (Excel) format.

**Data Pre-Processing**

Photograph data were numbered (image 1, image 2 ...) and collected in a folder created for photography mining analysis. At the first stage, the data series (1167 posts) obtained under photography mining were analysed by the researchers. Researchers separated the relevant and irrelevant photographs in the data set for Turkish cuisine.

Texts with likes and hashtags were transferred to the “Excel” file as a corpus. First, all the character distortions, texts other than Latin letters, punctuations, corrupted data, and irrelevant posts outside the scope were cleared from the “Excel” data set. After the pre-cleaning process, a “tm package of the “R” package program was used (Feinerer & Hornik, 2019) to transform all capital and small letters and characters to plain text. Punctuations and figures in the data were removed and spaces were eliminated. These corrections were turned into a clean data set to be analysed with the “dplyr” package. Thus, three separate data sets which were photographs, likes, and hashtags were created before the analysis.

**Data Analysis**

Data mining techniques were used to interpret UGC on the popular social media platform Instagram. Two different techniques including text and photography mining were used since the analysed data set consisted of photographs and texts (likes and hashtags). After creating and cleaning the data set, data analysis was conducted in line with the research problem.

For the first research problem, by using the existing studies in the literature (Cömert & Alabacak, 2019; Eren & Çelik, 2017; Ergün & Öztürk, 2018; Şanlıer, 2005) and field knowledge of the researchers, Turkish cuisine classification categories and explanatory content were determined to classify the photographs. Photographs irrelevant with food were included under the “irrelevant photographs” title in the category to obtain interpretable findings. Categories and contents used for photograph classifications are given in Table 1. Photographs in 1167 posts in the data set were analysed by the researchers and classified in certain categories. In other words, Table 1 was used as the measurement criteria in data evaluation. Frequency distribution analysis was conducted to represent the photography data in terms of numbers and percentage and to determine the value distribution properties.

To answer the second research problem related to posting likes, the number of likes of the photographs separated into the food category in Table 1 were analysed. For each category, total likes and like levels were calculated. Frequency distribution analysis was used for the percentage of data.
Table 1. Food Categories and Contents Classifying Turkish Cuisine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food Category</th>
<th>Category Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soups</td>
<td>Cereal, pulses and dough soups, Meat and offal soups, Yogurt and milk soups,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chicken soups, Vegetable soups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meats</td>
<td>Grills, Kebabs, Meatballs, Stews, Offals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seafoods</td>
<td>Saltwater fish, Freshwater fish, Shellfish, Mollusk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>Mousaka, Oiturma (a dish made of ground meat and vegetables), Mücver (vegetable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>patty), Pan Dishes, Silkme (vegetables and meat cubes first fried and then</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cooked in its own gravy, shaken at intervals), Olive oil Dishes, Dolma (stuffed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vegetables) and Sarma (stuffed leaves).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals</td>
<td>- Rice Dishes, Pastas, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Legume Foods</td>
<td>- Meat and Dried Legume Foods, Olive oil and Dried Legume Foods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salads</td>
<td>- Salads prepared with vegetables, Salads prepared with cereal or pasta, Salads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>prepared with dried legumes, Salads prepared with meat and offal, Salads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>prepared with seafood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezes</td>
<td>- Hot and cold mezes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desserts</td>
<td>- Dairy desserts, Fruit desserts, Dough desserts, Cereal desserts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakery and Pastry</td>
<td>- Bread, Pita, Donuts, Pies, Cakes, Cookies, Tarts and varieties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Products</td>
<td>- Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>- Contains photos of one or more of the breakfast products on the table (bread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>types, cookies types, donuts types, pastries types, cheese types, olive types,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>corn flakes types, salami and sausage types, jam and honey types, oil types, egg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>types, beverages, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>- It contains photographs of more than one food in different food categories on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the table.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrelevant Photos</td>
<td>- Photos not related to food.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In line with the third research problem and sub-problems, the corpus was created by using a “tm” package to process hashtag texts, and a clean set was created and organized. The clean data set for the analysis contained text sentences written by each user for the Instagram post photograph. Since “#” was used at the beginning of a related word in hashtag use, hashtags obtained from the text sentences were created by the program to choose words with the hashtag (#) sign. To prevent any bias, “#turkishfood” hashtag in the hashtag data was determined as an exclusion criterion. 1167 posts with 100% sparsity created with “#turkishfood” hashtag and term-text matrix of 5544 obtained terms are given in Equation A1 (Appendix).

According to 3a sub-problem, proximity and correlation (findAssocs) analysis were conducted on processed data obtained with text mining by using a “tm” package on the “R” package program. “FindAssocs” statement is based on the standard “cor” function on the R statistic program (Feinerer & Hornik, 2019). With this operation, a tdm (term-document matrix) was created and numerical vector value covariances were divided to standard deviation to calculate a relationship (Shakeel & Karwal, 2016). “FindAssocs” command shows the correlation coefficient of a word and the relationship with other words in the term-document matrix. Correlation coefficients range between 0 and 1. As the frequency of having two words together increases, the correlation value is closer to 1.00 and when the frequency decreases, the correlation value is closer to 0.00. Therefore, correlation is a measurement showing how closely words are related to the corpus (Shakeel & Karwal, 2016). Codes used for the sub-problem “3a” are given in Equation A2 (Appendix). Terms with relationship ratio larger than 0.25 were investigated.

Word frequency and word cloud analysis were then conducted with a “word cloud” package for the sub-problem 3b (Fellow, 2018). Analysis inputs were transformed into a matrix. After transformation, term-document matrix counting and ranking processes were completed. Following this stage, hashtags with less than 40 repetitions were excluded from the analysis by considering the importance levels of the words. Word frequency code for sub-problem “3b” is given in Equation A3 and word cloud code is given in Equation A4 (Appendix).
Lastly, the “bibliometrix” package in R program was used to discover the social structure for the hashtags to better answer sub-problem 3c (Aria & Cuccurullo, 2020). Thematic map analysis was applied to the data by using this package.

Findings

Based on the main purpose of this study, Instagram posts were analysed to discover the most popular foods in the Turkish cuisine by analysing UGC and to determine the most popular themes within gastronomical context. Within this context, photographs, likes, and hashtags with “#turkishfood” hashtag were investigated. Photographs, likes, and hashtags were considered under different sections, and findings were presented.

Findings for Photographs

Instagram offers an effective role to collect and share photographs of food that reflect a destination (Ye et al., 2017). Photographs are interpreted more attractively, lively, and strongly than explanatory words and enrich the content (Guidry et al., 2014). In other words, photographs contain richer content than texts to share food experience (Hu et al., 2014). Within this context, 1167 photographs with “#turkishfood” hashtag with the highest number of posts reflecting Turkish cuisine were investigated. Photographs were clustered as user-generated content among created food categories (Table 1). Photograph frequency and percentages are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Clustering Results of Photographs Shared with “#Turkishfood” Hashtag

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food Category</th>
<th>Frequency (f)</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
<th>Valid Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meats</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td>27.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakery and Pastry Products</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>15.42</td>
<td>26.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desserts</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>10.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>9.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>8.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soups</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seafoods</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salads</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Legume Foods</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Valid Data</strong></td>
<td><strong>691</strong></td>
<td><strong>59.21</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missing Data</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irrelevant Photos</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>40.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>1167</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When Table 2 shows Instagram photographs shared by users with #turkishfood” hashtag is analysed, it can be seen that the majority of these photographs (40.79%) were lost data (irrelevant photographs) that are not related to food. Findings show that the relationship between used hashtags and shared photographs is weak. Users might use the irrelevant hashtag in the photographs to show them to and share them with more users. Therefore, hashtag and content match should be considered when user-generated content hashtags are investigated.

When the photograph clusters obtained from the popular relevant Turkish cuisine data (59.21%) was analysed (Table 2), it can be seen that the users share meat 27.06% (n=187), bakery and pastry products 26.05% (n=180), desserts 10.71% (n=74), vegetables 9.84% (n=68) and cereals 8.40% (n=58) on Instagram. On the other hand, food photographs in the other categories (breakfast, dinner, soups, seafoods, salads, mezes, and dried legume dishes) were
shared less frequently by the users (less than 5%). This shows that the users have developed higher and more positive images towards meats, bakery and pastry products, desserts, vegetables, and cereals in Turkish cuisine. At the same time, less frequent food shares in various categories might show that the users have a negative or neutral image or they have limited or no knowledge about the foods in these categories. It is important to investigate the reasons for the categories with limited photograph sharing.

**Findings for Likes**

A high number of likes on a social media post reflects the interest of the consumer (Sabate et al., 2014). It is necessary to consider the number of likes created as the user reaction to achieve successful branding and marketing strategies, and these likes show the popularity of the post on social media (Kumar & Mirchandani, 2012). The popularity of the post (likes) might have a positive impact on a brand (brand awareness etc.) or consumer behaviour (purchasing decision, customer loyalty, etc.) (Lin et al., 2017; Rapp et al., 2013). In this context, like levels of photographs in 1167 posts with “#turkishfood” hashtag in the food categories (Table 1) were analysed. Post like frequency and percentages are given in Table 3.

**Table 3. Like Level of Posts Shared with “#Turkishfood” Hashtag for Categories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food Category</th>
<th>Number of Photos (f)</th>
<th>Number of Likes (f)</th>
<th>Average Likes (x̄)</th>
<th>Percentage of Average Likes (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meats</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>14345</td>
<td>76.71</td>
<td>8.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakery and Pastry Products</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>25986</td>
<td>144.37</td>
<td>16.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desserts</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>4791</td>
<td>64.74</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3343</td>
<td>49.16</td>
<td>5.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>4409</td>
<td>76.02</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2205</td>
<td>78.75</td>
<td>8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1756</td>
<td>79.82</td>
<td>8.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soups</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1462</td>
<td>76.95</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seafoods</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>34.89</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salads</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>56.63</td>
<td>6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>86.73</td>
<td>9.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried Legume Foods</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>75.11</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid Data</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>61496</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Average Likes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x̄=89.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x̄=81.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrelevant Photos</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>38790</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAND TOTAL</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>100286</td>
<td>x̄=85.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the valid data findings for likes of posts shared with “turkishfood” hashtag were analysed (Table 3), it can be seen that average likes and frequency in food category shares did not have a parallel increase. While the meats have the highest frequency level, it can be seen that the average like level was 8.52% (x̄=76.71). While bakery and pastry products have the second-highest frequency, the average like level of this category was at the highest level with 16.04% (x̄=144.37). Mezes have the second-highest like percentage of 9.64% (x̄=86.73) and share the frequency value of this group had the lowest value after dried legume food. Dinner (8.87%, x̄=79.82), breakfast (8.75%, x̄=78.75), soups (8.55%, x̄=76.95), cereals (8.45%, x̄=76.02) were the other food categories with relatively lower likes than average (8.33%, x̄=89.00). Dried legume had the lowest frequency value while the like value was closer to the average likes (8.35%, x̄=75.11). Desserts (7.20%, x̄=64.74), salads (6.29%, x̄=56.63), vegetables (5.46%, x̄=49.16), and sea products (3.88%, x̄=34.89) had the lowest like levels respectively.
Findings for Hashtags

Instagram hashtags help users to classify the photographs and reflect their emotions about these photographs (Hu et al., 2014). At the same time, hashtags enable users with similar interest areas to communicate. Hashtags are distinguished as an important element to develop the online personality of a user (Lang & Wu, 2011). Within this context, analysing the existing popular hashtags in UGC is important to increase content visibility and transferring the content to more users. Within this context, other hashtags used in photographs with “#turkishfood” hashtag that reflect the foods in the Turkish cuisine were analysed.

To provide information to more users and increase interaction, it is important for users to use hashtags highly related to the hashtags in the post. While this increases destination food recognition by the users, it can also support a positive perception of the food. Therefore, the relationship between other hashtags used with “#turkishfood” hashtag was analysed for sub-problem “3a”, and the results are given in Table 4.

### Table 4. Relationship Between “#Turkishfood” and Other Hashtags

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hashtag</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Hashtag</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Hashtag</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#turkishfoodinqatar</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>#turkishfoodfestival</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>#turkishfoodindubai</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#turkishfoodlove</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>#turkishfooddrink</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>#turkishfoodromania</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#turkishfoodblogger</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>#turkishfoodbucharestaurant</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>#turkishfoodmelbourne</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#turkishfoodie</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>#turkishfoodporn</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>#turkishfoodiftar</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#chickenmeatball</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>#danakofte</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>#danakoftemenu</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#danakofsesi</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>#fatihyemekleri</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>#istanbulpurger</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#istanbulgumesi</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>#istanbulgumesiii</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>#turkeymeatball</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#turkishfoodinkuwait</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>#turkishfoodchannel</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>#atpazari</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#yemekburdayenir</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>#baranetmangal</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>#bbq</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#kebab</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>#fatih</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>#turkishfoodisthebest</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#gurme</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>#turkishfoodculture</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>#mangal</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When Table 4 is analysed, high relationship with “#turkishfoodinqatar” (0.72), “#turkishfoodindubai” (0.70), “#turkishfoodromania” (0.69) and “#turkishfoodmelbourne” (0.66) show the positive image of Turkish cuisine in other destinations. Additionally, this can show that using these hashtags can indicate Turkish cuisine food consumption in these destinations. The high relationship between “#turkishfoodfestival” (0.70) and “#turkishfood” hashtag might show the positive image of Turkish cuisine festivals. Additionally, the lack of negative hashtags shows that general image perception for Turkish cuisine is positive.

Popular hashtags used by the users represent the user attitudes towards food. By using the frequently used hashtags, destinations can generate positive images for food branding. For this purpose, the usage frequency of other hashtags with “#turkishfood” hashtag for sub-problem “3b” is shown in Table 5. Words in the term-document matrix are listed in descending order.
Table 5. Frequency of Other Hashtags Used with the “#Turkishfood” Hashtag

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#Hashtag</th>
<th>Frequency (f)</th>
<th>#Hashtag</th>
<th>Frequency (f)</th>
<th>#Hashtag</th>
<th>Frequency (f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#turkishfoodrecipe</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>#turkishfoodisthebest</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>#food</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#turkishfoodlove</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>#foodie</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>#turkish</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#foodlove</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>#foodphotography</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>#foodporn</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#delicious</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>#turkishfoodporn</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>#yummy</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#instafood</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>#turkey</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>#foodstagram</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#eat</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>#turkischcuisine</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>#istanbul</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#foodblogger</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>#turkishfoodie</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>#foodgasm</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#deliciousfood</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>#homemade</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>#kebab</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#restaurant</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>#turkishfoodblogger</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>#meat</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#yemek</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>#foodpic</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>#turkishfoodindubai</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#turkishfooddrink</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>#turkishfoodfestival</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>#borek</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#turkishfoodchef</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>#turkishfoodinQatar</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>#turkishkitchen</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#daphnerestaurant</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>#turkmutfagi</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>#atasehirevyemekleri</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#healthyrecipe</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>#daphnecaferestaurant</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>#homemadefood</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#kofte</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>#turkishfoodromania</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>#turkymekleri</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#atasehidaphnecaferestaurant</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>#turkishfoodbucharestaurant</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>#aysenuraltan</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#recipe</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>#instagood</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>#turkishfoodmelbourne</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#dessert</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>#evyemekleri</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>#turkiye</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#breakfast</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>#cook</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>#healthyfood</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#subscribe</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>#salad</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When Table 5 is analysed, it can be seen that the top 5 hashtags used with “#turkishfood” hashtag were “#turkishfoodrecipe” (n=570), “#turkishfoodisthebest” (n=487), “#food” (n=340), “#turkishfoodlove” (n=276) and “#foodie” (n=260). The findings show that users use emotional hashtags (#turkishfoodlove etc.) that reflect their feelings, mood, and emotions with non-emotional hashtags (#food) that give data, information, answer object, value or concepts (Scherer, 2005; Stephen & Saejoon, 2003). At the same time, positive word usage shows that users developed positive attitudes towards Turkish cuisine.

Word cloud analysis after frequency count plays an important role in data mining. Word cloud is a visualization tool that shows how frequently a word is used in the text body (Carter et al., 2014). Word cloud was generated to show the words with at least 20 repetitions and to show at most 100 words to prevent the high number of words and structural problems. The analysis presents an image that shows the dominant aspects of the general structure of other hashtags used with “#turkishfood” hashtag (Fig. 2).
Thematic mapping places themes (keyword clusters) in four quarters based on centrality and density and enable the data to be defined within the quarter (Cobo et al., 2011). Clusters are obtained for centrality and density index (Callon et al., 1991). Centrality shows the density level of the connection of one cluster with others. Density characterizes the connection power between the clusters. The size of the clusters shows the number of keywords and related keywords (Cobo et al., 2011; Cobo et al., 2015; Kipper et al., 2019). Themes created by other hashtags used with “#turkishfood” hashtag in 3c sub-problem to emphasize the unlisted and missed but relevant keywords were investigated with thematic mapping analysis (Fig. 3).
Themes on the top right corner of the thematic mapping are known as “motor themes” and represent both high centrality and density. This means these themes are important for the research field (Estrada, 2017). When Fig. 3 is analysed, it can be seen that “#turkishfood” and “#nefisyemektarifleri” hashtags are in this quarter and these are among the important themes. It can be seen that “#turkishfood” theme and hashtags were more dominant since it involves the most repeated hashtag themes. Themes on the bottom right corner are known as “basic themes” and this represents high centrality and low density. Fig. 3 shows that the “#food” theme and hashtags related to this theme are in this quarter. Since foods are the main research area, this finding is natural. Themes on the top left corner are known as “very special themes” or “niche themes”, and this represents well-developed connections (high density) but irrelevant outer connections (low centrality). Therefore, elements in this quarter have limited importance (Estrada, 2017). Analysis results showed that the “#foodphotography” theme and contents were in this quarter and had limited importance. Themes in the bottom left are known as “emerging and disappearing themes”, and this represents less developed and extreme elements, which mean low centrality and density. “#turkishisthebest” and “#restaurant” themes were among the emerging and disappearing themes.

Keyword analysis forms the first indicators for user destination food image with the increasing importance of certain hashtags over time. It is important to use hashtags with themes that have high centrality and density (#turkishfood) to use destination foods on Instagram to use it as a branding element for current images of the users or to shape the images in the positive direction. Additionally, “#food” and “#foodphotography” themes contain the hashtags that have a development potential. While the identified hashtags increase the destination food recognition by the users, they can also support the positive images. At the same time, effective usage of hashtags can help to create destination food image or to increase this image for less-known foods.

Result

In this study, user-generated content (photographs, likes, and hashtags) on the social media platform Instagram was analysed to discover the most popular foods in the Turkish cuisine and to determine the most popular gastronomic themes. User posts shared with “#turkishfood” hashtag that represents the Turkish cuisine as a popular hashtag were analysed with photograph mining and text mining techniques among data mining methods. This study determines the user image perception for Turkish cuisine food and obtained data provides insights to destination marketing professionals to use reflected food image as a branding element.

In today’s world, communication with social media seems inevitable (Narangajavana et al., 2017). While Instagram meets the social needs of individuals for communication and self-representation (Ye et al., 2017), it is one of the easiest platforms for multi-dimensional communication (Wang et al., 2016). Additionally, users use social media to strengthen social relationships, create their images, reflect their feelings, archive themselves and to share information (Liu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016; Wong et al., 2019). Compared to the advertisement with mass communication tools, UGC is considered more reliable (Fotis et al., 2012). Within this context, information obtained from social media platforms are important for destinations to define hidden risks and potential opportunities, evaluate the performance and create competitive advantage (Mirzaalian & Halpenny, 2019). Destinations should reflect their food-related images on social media as a branding element.

Analysis results obtained in this study with photography mining techniques showed that users share more photographs in meats, bakery and pastry products, desserts, vegetables, and cereals. This finding shows that the foods
in these categories are more popular and have a high positive image (Table 2). Additionally, likes assessed based on the photographs in the same category showed that bakery and pastry and mezes categories had high like levels. The non-linear relationship between photography sharing frequency and likes in categories other than bakery and pastry products might be caused by the social media platform structure. Still, the lack of negative hashtags in the results obtained with text mining showed that Turkish cuisine food generally had a positive food image among users. Accordingly, using highly related hashtags, frequently used hashtags, and hashtags with highly important motor themes by the destinations can positively impact food image and help to distribute the information faster and to larger masses.

Destination management organizations and marketing professionals should use user-generated content more effectively to create strategies to make their brands more visible and reliable (Ana & Istudor, 2019). Within this context, destinations should maintain strong sides and improve weak sides within the strategies to present a better image for the users. Destinations should use the information obtained from social media to create new content. Active, comfortable, and effective interaction of the users with destinations (Wang et al., 2016) impact the purchasing decision as well as the general satisfaction level (Narangajavana et al., 2017). The analysis showed that there are no official social media accounts for Turkish cuisine. Creating and developing an official social media account is important to create or improve the food brand image for Turkish cuisine. Findings obtained from user-generated content analysis in this study contribute to this purpose and provide information for strategic branding works on destination food. Activities play a vital role in destination success (Kuhzady & Ghasemi, 2019).

The limitation of this study is the assessment of Turkish cuisine food on Instagram and content created with “#turkishfood” hashtag (photographs, likes, and hashtags) on a certain date. The data obtained from photography mining and data analysis on likes are limited with the created categories. Also, this study only considered individual users for UGC. Since internet users above 50 years old tend to not enjoy social media platforms and 83% of the users are between 18-29 years old (Hanan & Putit, 2014), this study reflects the views of generation Y and Z. Due to the impossibility of distinguishing real and fake accounts on Instagram, users were assumed as real individuals. It is important to analyse other content (comment texts, comment numbers, etc.) in addition to photographs, likes, and hashtags created by the users to determine the image elements reflecting the Turkish cuisine. User content from other platforms (Instagram, Facebook, Twitter, etc.) for destination foods should be compared and their contribution to the image should be discussed. Additionally, future studies should investigate the shares by considering the cultural differences and demographic properties of the users, and these shares should be classified.
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APPENDIX

Equation A1. Term-Text Matrix Related to Data Obtained from “#Turkishfood” Hashtag

```
cleanset <- tm_map(cleanset, stripWhitespace)
tdm <- TermDocumentMatrix(cleanset)
tdm
## <<TermDocumentMatrix (terms: 5544, documents: 1167)>>
## Non-/sparse entries: 17023/6452825
## Sparsity : 100%
## Maximal term length: 98
## Weighting : term frequency (tf)
```

Equation A2. Affinity and Association Analysis Equation for “#Turkishfood” Hashtag and Other Hashtags

```
findAssocs(tdm, "turkishfood", .25)
$turkishfood
```

Equation A3. Frequency Count Equation for “#Turkishfood” Hashtag and Other Hashtags

```
w <- rowSums(tdm)
w <- subset(w, w>=40 )
sort(w, decreasing = T)
```

Equation A4. Word Cloud Equation for “#Turkishfood” Hashtag and Other Hashtags

```
library(wordcloud)
w <- sort(rowSums(tdm),decreasing = TRUE)
set.seed(222)
wordcloud(words = names(w),
    freq = w,
    max.words = 100,
    random.order = FALSE,
    min.freq = 20,
    colors = brewer.pal(8, "Dark2"),
    scale = c(5, 0.3),
    rot.per = 0.3)
```